

Beliefs in Misinformation about Covid-19 and Dengue in a Sample of the Rio de Janeiro State (Brazil)

Creencias en la desinformación sobre Covid-19 y dengue en una muestra del estado de Río de Janeiro (Brasil)

NICOLAS DE OLIVEIRA CARDOSO

Federal Fluminense University https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1555-1409 **Correspondencia:** nicolas.deoliveira@hotmail.com

THAIANE MOREIRA DE OLIVEIRA
Federal Fluminense University
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8588-3548

KETLIN DA ROSA TAGLIAPIETRA
Federal Fluminense University
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5926-257X





Luisa Massarani

National Institute for the Public Communication of Science and Technology, Casa de Oswaldo Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, CDHS https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5710-7242

WAGNER DE LARA MACHADO
Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5555-5116



Abstract

Given the ongoing dengue epidemic in Rio de Janeiro (RJ) and the prevalence of misinformation related to Covid-19 and dengue, this study aims to: 1) compare levels of belief in Covid-19 and dengue misinformation; 2) examine associations between sociodemographic factors, health-related outcomes, and misinformation beliefs; and 3) explore the reasons underlying these beliefs among residents of Rio de Janeiro. A cross-sectional online opinion survey was conducted using quantitative and qualitative data retrieved from 180 adults who live in RJ. Participants answered a self-report questionnaire about sociodemographic and health-related outcomes. They were then asked to evaluate six pieces of misinformation as true or false and to explain why they believed or did not believe in them. Our findings reveal higher levels of belief in Covid-19 compared to dengue misinformation among the Rio de Janeiro population. Furthermore, for each unit increase in the number of received Covid-19 vaccine doses, there was a 277% increase in the odds of disbelieving Covid-19 misinformation. Individuals with rightwing political affiliations and those opposed to child vaccination exhibited a moderate to strong propensity to believe in misinformation. Perceived vaccine reliability and information received from healthcare professionals emerged as key reasons cited for both believing and disbelieving Covid-19 misinformation.

Keywords: Misinformation, vaccine hesitancy, political ideology, ivermectin, genetically modified organisms.

Resumen

Este estudio tiene como objetivo: 1) comparar los niveles de creencias en la desinformación sobre Covid-19 y dengue; 2) examinar las asociaciones entre factores sociodemográficos, resultados relacionados con la salud y creencias en la desinformación; 3) explorar las razones subyacentes a estas creencias entre los residentes de Río de Janeiro. Se realizó una encuesta transversal de opinión en línea utilizando datos cuantitativos y cualitativos

Citación/referenciación: Cardoso, N. O., Oliveira, T. O., Tagliapietra, K. R., Massarani, L. y Machado, W. L. Beliefs in Misinformation about Covid-19 and Dengue in a Sample of the Rio de Janeiro State. *Psicología desde el Caribe*, 42(1), 88-125.

90



obtenidos de 180 adultos. Los participantes respondieron a un cuestionario de autoinforme sobre dados sociodemográficos y relacionados con la salud. Luego se les pidió que evaluaran seis piezas de desinformación como verdaderas o falsas y que explicaran por qué creían o no en ellas. Nuestros hallazgos revelan niveles más altos de creencia en la desinformación sobre Covid-19 en comparación con la desinformación sobre el dengue entre la población de Río de Janeiro. Además, por cada unidad de aumento en el número de dosis recibidas de la vacuna contra el Covid-19, se observó un aumento del 277 % en la probabilidad de no creer en la desinformación sobre el Covid-19. Los individuos con afiliaciones políticas de derecha y aquellos que se oponen a la vacunación infantil mostraron una propensión de moderada a fuerte a creer en desinformación. La percepción sobre la confiabilidad de las vacunas y la información recibida de profesionales de la salud surgieron como las principales razones citadas tanto para creer como para no creer en la desinformación sobre el Covid-19.

Palabras clave: Desinformación, vacilación a la vacunación, ideologías políticas, ivermectina, organismos modificados genéticamente.



91



RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Introduction

Previous studies have demonstrated the negative impacts of misinformation related to Covid-19 and dengue on general population beliefs (e.g., vaccine trust: Allington et al., 2023; Del Riccio et al., 2021; Gagnon-Dufresne et al., 2023) and behaviors (e.g., vaccine hesitancy: Allington et al., 2023; Gagnon-Dufresne et al., 2023; Roozenbeek et al., 2020). Consequently, the spread of health-related misinformation has been recognized as a critical global health challenge, often referred to as an "infodemic" (World Health Organization, 2024; Ricaurte, 2021). This phenomenon is prevalent in Brazil, with several studies indicating a high prevalence of health-related misinformation spread by both the public and health authorities/professionals during the Covid-19 pandemic (Martins-Filho et al., 2022; Paumgartten et al., 2020; Salvador et al., 2023; Silva et al., 2023).

Moreover, it is well-established that some sociodemographic (e.g., political views, age, income, education) and health-related outcomes (e.g., number of vaccine doses received, intentions to receive or administer vaccines to their children) are associated with susceptibility to Covid-19 misinformation (Del Riccio et al., 2021; Ramos et al., 2022; Roozenbeek et al., 2020; Salvador et al., 2023). However, while the impact of multiple factors on belief in Covid-19 misinformation has been extensively studied, research addressing misinformation about arboviruses, particularly dengue, remains scarce (Carey et al., 2020; Nan et al., 2022). A recent systematic review identified only one Brazilian study addressing misinformation about Zika and yellow fever (Nan et al., 2022). This gap is concerning given Brazil's history of dengue outbreaks and epidemics (Xavier et al., 2017), including the current record-breaking outbreak in Rio de Janeiro (Secretaria Estadual de Saúde do Rio de Janeiro [SES-RJ], 2024), which may be exacerbated by beliefs in misinformation about false prevention and treatment measures (e.g., use of vinegar and ivermectin - Estadão, 2024; Ministério da Saúde [MS], 2024; Uol, 2024).

Previous research has suggested potential connections between Covid-19 and dengue outbreaks, with lockdowns and social distancing possibly contributing to increased dengue cases in several Asian countries due to reduced prevention efforts and misdiagnosis because of the similarity of symptoms (Wiyono et al., 2021). Nevertheless, to our knowledge, no studies have directly investigated the possible similarities or differences between reasons to believe, sociodemographic, and health-related outcomes of individuals who believe in misinformation





(on line)

about dengue and Covid-19 in Brazil. Given the ongoing dengue epidemic in Rio de Janeiro and the dissemination of health-related misinformation, this study aims to: I) compare levels of belief in misinformation about Covid-19 and dengue; 2) investigate associations between sociodemographic factors, health-related outcomes, and beliefs in misinformation; 3) explore the reasons underlying these beliefs among residents of Rio de Janeiro State (RJ).

Method

Design and sample

A cross-sectional online opinion study, using the Qualtrics platform to retrieve quantitative and qualitative, and a 20-minute questionnaire, was conducted in February 2024. This study was conducted by researchers from the Federal Fluminense University, with funds from the Rio de Janeiro State government. Participants were recruited only in the Rio de Janeiro State through snowball sampling technique (i.e., via dissemination in WhatsApp groups of the researchers' network) and boosting publications with information about our research on Facebook. The only target used during boosts was the location (i.e., Rio de Janeiro state). The initial sample was 196 adults, 16 cases were removed because participants answered only the sociodemographic or health-related questions (i.e., independent variables). The final analytic sample comprised 180 adults who answered at least one of the misinformation questions (i.e., dependent variables). This study followed the Brazilian National Health Council guideline 510/2016, which dispenses the submission and registration of public opinion surveys to ethics committees.

Variables and research questionnaire descriptions

All variables assessed were collected with an ad hoc questionnaire divided into two parts: I) sociodemographic and health-related outcomes; and 2) misinformation outcomes. The survey questionnaire used skip logic to improve the participant experience. For example, if the participant answered that he/she does not search for health information on social media, the question about which social media was most used to consult such information was not displayed. Consequently, variations in response rates across questions should be interpreted cautiously, as they reflect sub-sample differences rather than missing data.





Sociodemographic and health-related variables (independent variables)

The first part of the questionnaire employed a structured format to collect data on sociodemographic and health-related variables. These included age, family income, religious belief level, number of Covid-19 vaccine doses received by the participant, and number of doses given to their children (continuous variables); gender (I = Male; 2 = Female), education level (I = Elementary school; 5 = Postgraduate), political view (left-wing, center, right-wing, no political preference), search for health information in social media, most used social media for health information (YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter/X, WhatsApp, Telegram, TikTok, Kwai), have children under 18 years old, intends to receive new Covid-19 and dengue vaccines (o = No; I = Yes). To account for potential biases, two additional dichotomous questions were included at the end of the online survey. Participants were asked whether they consulted external sources (e.g. , Google) to answer the questions related to misinformation. Participants were also asked to indicate if they were health professionals.

Misinformation outcomes (dependent variables)

Following the assessment of sociodemographic and health-related outcomes, participants assess the veracity (o = False; I = True) of six statements about Covid-19 and dengue (table I). These statements were derived from mainstream media and Brazilian government anti-misinformation campaigns (#Brasilcontrafake). Subsequently, participants were asked to justify their belief or disbelief in each statement. A predefined list of potential reasons was provided (e.g., Perceived vaccine reliability, and information from healthcare professionals - HCPs), allowing participants to select more than one option. An 'other' category was also available for specifying alternative justifications.

Table 1. Misinformation rated as false or true by the participants

Theme	Covid-19 misinformation ¹
Covid-19 supervirus	It has recently been discovered that the application of the second and third doses of vaccines with Spike protein allows for "prolonged viral persistence", which can generate a supervirus that is resistant to immunizers. Therefore, multiple doses of the Covid-19 vaccine should be avoided.

Continúa...



ISSN 2011-7485 (on line)

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

RIO DE JANEIRO

Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children	Brazil is the only country that vaccinates children against Covid-19. Several countries do not recommend vaccinating children due to possible serious side effects (such as an increased risk of developing heart disease).
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment	Ivermectin helps prevent and treat Covid-19.
Theme	Dengue Misinformation ¹
Dengue GMO (Mosquito)	The Zika virus outbreaks occurred due to the release of genetically modified mosquitoes that were used to combat dengue.
Dengue Vinegar	Vinegar can keep the dengue mosquito away from domestic environments, having a larvicidal and ovicidal effect (it kills the mosquito's larvae and eggs).
Ivermectin for dengue prevention and treatment	Ivermectin helps prevent and treat dengue.

Note. ^IAll the statements were false; GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

Data analysis

Binary logistic regression was used for ordinal independent variables, given the dichotomous nature of the dependent variables. Chi-squared or Fisher's exact test (when expected count < 5 in one of the response categories) was employed for categorical independent variables (Tabachnick et al., 2013). To assess multicollinearity between independent variables, variance inflation factor (VIF) values were calculated, with results indicating low collinearity (VIF < 4; Kim et al., 2019). The pairwise deletion was applied to handle missing data in Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests, while listwise deletion was used for logistic regression. All quantitative analyses were performed using SPSS version 26 and the software JASP version 0.17.2.1.

Qualitative data on reasons for belief or disbelief in misinformation were analyzed using Bardin's content analysis (1977/2016). Data were organized and synthesized based on thematic similarities and wording, adhering to Bardin's criteria of mutual exclusivity, homogeneity, and pertinence. Analyses were performed using MS Excel and SPSS version 26.

Results

Sample characteristics

The mean age of the sample was 56.65 (SD = 12.99), and most are Female (66.45%). The mean family monthly income was R\$ 9.963,64 (SD = 7.680,71), which is



equivalent to \$ 1.992.73 (SD = 1.536.14)^I. Most participants did not have children (64.43%), were attending, or had already completed an undergraduate degree (35.6%), followed by individuals with postgraduate degrees (32.2%) and high school diplomas (28.1%). The sample leaned left politically (45.2%), with a quarter (26.03%) reporting no political preference and 21.92% identifying as right-wing. Approximately half (48.9%) of participants sought health information related to Covid-19 and dengue on social media, primarily YouTube (41.4%), followed by Facebook (33.33%) and Instagram (12.64%).

Participants reported receiving a mean of 3.55 Covid-19 vaccine doses (SD=1.5). Vaccine acceptance for future Covid-19 and dengue vaccinations was high, with 65.7% and 80% of participants expressing interest, respectively. A mean of 2.33 Covid-19 vaccine doses (SD=.96) was reported for participants' children, and 71% and 86.5% of participants intended to vaccinate their children against Covid-19 and dengue, respectively. These findings suggest a potentially higher confidence in the safety and efficacy of the dengue vaccine compared to the Covid-19 vaccine. They may also indicate more concern about dengue than Covid-19.

To mitigate potential biases, we assessed participant reliance on external information sources and healthcare professional status. Results indicated that 92.62% of participants did not consult any external information (e.g., google) while answering our questionnaire, and 85.81% were not health professionals. Detailed descriptive statistics for sociodemographic, health-related, and misinformation outcomes are presented in table 2.





(on line)

Variable type	Variables	Groups	N (%)	Mean (SD)	Min- Max
	Age		180	56.65 (12.99)	18-80
	Family income (R\$)		139	9963,64 (7680,71)	0-30.000
	Religious belief level		146	1.38 (.87)	0-3
	Vaccine doses N°		149	3.55 (1.5)	0-6
	Child vaccine doses N°		52	2.33 (.96)	0-3
	Gender	Male Female	51 (33.55) 101 (66.45)		
	Education	Elementary school High school Undergraduate Postgraduate	6 (4.02) 42 (28.18) 53 (35.57) 48 (32.21)		
	Political view	Left-wing Center Right-wing No political preference	66 (45.20) 10 (6.85) 32 (21.92) 38 (26.03)		
	Have child (< 18 years)	Yes No	53 (35.57) 96 (64.43)		
IV	Health Info. in social	Yes	88 (48.89)		
	media	No	92 (51.11)		
	Most used social media for health info	YouTube Facebook Instagram Twitter (X) WhatsApp	36 (41.38) 29 (33.33) 11 (12.64) 7 (8.04) 4 (4.6)		
	Intends to receive new	Yes	98 (65.77)		
	Covid-19 vaccines	No	51 (34.23)		
	Intends to receive dengue vaccine	Yes No	119 (79.87) 30 (16.67)		
	Intends to vaccinate child with new Covid-19 vaccine dose	Yes No	37 (71.15) 15 (28.85)		
	Intends to vaccinate child for dengue*	Yes No	45 (86.54) 7 (13.46)		
	Information search during survey	Yes No	II (7.38) I38 (92.62)		
	Health professional	Yes No	21 (14.19) 127 (85.81)		

97

Wagner de Lara Machado

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF



Continúa...

	Covid-19 super virus	False True	142 (80.23) 35 (19.77)
	Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children	False True	113 (67.26) 55 (32.74)
DV	Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment	False True	118 (71.95) 46 (28.05)
DV	Dengue GMO (mosquito)	False True	135 (85.99) 22 (14.01)
	Dengue Vinegar	False True	113 (75.33) 37 (24.67)
	Ivermectin for dengue prevention and treatment	False True	139 (93.29) 10 (6.71)

Note. *During this study, Rio de Janeiro had not started dengue vaccination; DV = Dependent variable; GMO = Genetically modified organisms IV = Independent variable; R\$ = Brazilian real; SD = Standard deviation.

Source: own elaboration.

Difference in levels of belief in misinformation about Covid-19 and dengue

Participants exhibited higher levels of belief in Covid-19 misinformation (M = 26.85%) compared to dengue misinformation (M = 15.1%). This difference is more noticeable between the misinformation about ivermectin as prevention/treatment, with 28.05% endorsing the misinformation about Covid-19 versus 6.7% for dengue. Furthermore, a significant proportion of the participants believed in the Covid-19 children's vaccine side effects (32.74%), and in the Covid-19 supervirus (19.77%) misinformation. Regarding dengue, 24.67% believed the misinformation about genetically modified mosquitoes (GMO) contributing to Zika virus outbreaks during an attempt to combat dengue, while 14.01% believed in vinegar as a dengue prevention strategy.

Associations between sociodemographic, health-related outcomes and beliefs in misinformation

Logistic regressions were performed to assess whether ordinal variables (i.e. age, income, education, religious beliefs, number of Covid-19 vaccine doses received and administered to children) were predictors of belief in misinformation about Covid-19 and dengue. However, model fit information and omnibus test demonstrate that our regression models for the Covid-19 supervirus, vinegar, and ivermectin for dengue prevention misinformation are no better than a null model (p > .05). Therefore, these dependent variables were not further explored





(on line)

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

in regression results. The findings of the logistic regressions for the remaining dependent variables are presented in table 3.

Table 3. Logistic regression models for predicting belief in misinformation about Covid-19 and dengue between Rio de Janeiro citizens

Predictors	Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children ¹	Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention/ treatment ²	Dengue GMO (mosquito)²
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Vaccine doses N°	3.77 (1.31, 10.81)*	.27 (.09, .78)*	.21 (.05, .86)*
Child vaccine doses N°	2.42 (.72, 8.10)	.73 (.21, 2.44)	2.11 (.37, 11.95)
Age	.95 (.87, 1.04)	1.06 (.96, 1.16)	1.10 (.97, 1.25)
Religious belief level	.83 (.28, 2.48)	.82 (.26, 2.59)	1.44 (.41, 5.02)
Family income (R\$)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)
Education	1.84 (.57, 5.93)	.40 (.11, 1.47)	.19 (.02, 1.47)

Note. OR odds ratio; CI confidence interval; * p < .02; The category "False" is the reference; The category "True" is the reference.

Source: own elaboration.

Logistic regression analyses revealed that for each unit increase in the number of received Covid-19 vaccine doses, there are greater 277% times the odds of the individual not believing the misinformation about Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children (*OR* 3.77, 95% *CI* 1.31, 10.81). Similarly, the odds of endorsing misinformation about ivermectin for Covid -19 prevention/treatment decreased by 73% (*OR* .27, 95% *CI* .09, .78), and dengue misinformation related to GMO by 79% (*OR* .21, 95% *CI* .05, .86) for each additional vaccine dose. No significant differences were found between other sociodemographic or health-related variables and misinformation beliefs.

To examine associations between categorical variables and misinformation beliefs, chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were employed. Significant associations were found between political views and beliefs in the misinformation regarding COVID-19 supervirus, vaccine side effects in children, ivermectin as Covid-19 prevention/treatment, dengue GMO (p < .001), and ivermectin as dengue prevention/treatment (p = .003). Right-wing individuals exhibited a moderate to strong propensity to endorse misinformation claims about COVID-19 supervirus ($\phi = .47$), dengue GMO ($\phi = .34$), ivermectin as dengue prevention/treatment ($\phi = .30$), COVID-19 children vaccine side-effects ($\phi = .73$), and ivermectin as COVID-19 prevention/treatment misinformation ($\phi = .67$). There was



Significant associations were also found between search health information in social media and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 supervirus (p = .002), vaccine side effects in children (p < .001), and ivermectin as Covid-19 prevention/treatment (p = .003). Individuals who search for health information on social media were slightly ($\varphi = .23$ to .29) more inclined to believe in all Covid-19 misinformation. On the other hand, there was no significant association between search health information in social media and beliefs in any dengue misinformation (table S2).

Furthermore, there were significant associations between intent to receive new Covid-19 vaccines and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 supervirus, vaccine side effects in children, dengue GMO, ivermectin for Covid-19 and dengue prevention/treatment (p < .001). Individuals who do not intend to receive new COVID-19 vaccines were strongly more inclined to believe in all Covid-19 misinformation (φ = .52 to .77) and moderately more inclined to believe in dengue GMO (φ = .33), and ivermectin as dengue prevention/treatment (φ = .31). No significant association was found between intention to receive new Covid-19 vaccines and belief in vinegar as dengue prevention strategy (table S3).

Similarly, there were significant associations between the intent to receive dengue vaccine and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 supervirus, vaccine side effects in children, dengue GMO, ivermectin as Covid-19 prevention/treatment strategy (p < .001), and dengue prevention/treatment (p = .01). Individuals expressing hesitancy towards dengue vaccination exhibited a stronger propensity to believe in all Covid-19 misinformation (φ = .55 to .59), and slightly more inclined to believe in dengue GMO (ϕ = .29), and ivermectin as dengue prevention/treatment misinformation ($\varphi = .24$). No significant association was found between dengue vaccination intent and belief in the vinegar as dengue prevention strategy (table S₄).

Significant associations also emerged between having a child and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 supervirus (p = .022), with individuals who had no children under 18 years old exhibiting a slightly higher likelihood of endorsement (φ = .19). There were no significant associations between have child and beliefs in any other misinformation (table S5). On the other hand, significant



100

RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL) Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,



Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

associations were observed between intention to vaccinate a child with new Covid-19 vaccines and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 vaccine side effects in children (p < .001), dengue GMO (p = .04), ivermectin for Covid-19 (p < .001) and dengue prevention/treatment (p = .02). Individuals who do not intend to vaccinate their child with new Covid-19 vaccines were strongly more inclined to believe in Covid-19 vaccine side effects in children (φ = .86), ivermectin as Covid-19 prevention/treatment misinformation (ϕ = .56), and moderately more inclined to believe in dengue GMO ($\phi = .31$) and ivermectin as dengue prevention/treatment ($\varphi = .37$). Conversely, no significant associations were found between intention to vaccinate child with new Covid-19 vaccines, and beliefs in Covid-19 supervirus, or vinegar as dengue prevention strategy (table S6).

Similarly, there were significant associations between intention to vaccinate children for dengue and belief in the misinformation regarding Covid-19 supervirus (p = .006), vaccine side effects in children, and ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention/treatment (p < .001). Individuals who do not intend to vaccinate their child were strongly more inclined to believe in all Covid-19 misinformation $(\varphi = .52 \text{ to } .59)$. However, in contrast with the findings related to the intention to vaccinate the child with new doses of Covid-19, no associations were identified between intention to vaccinate child for dengue and belief in any dengue misinformation (table S7).

Regarding possible differences between healthcare professionals and the overall Rio de Janeiro population, we found that healthcare professionals exhibited a slightly lower likelihood of believing in ivermectin as Covid-19 prevention/ treatment (p = .047, $\phi = .16$). No significant associations were found between being a healthcare professional and beliefs in any other misinformation (table S8), or between misinformation beliefs and social media usage (table S9), gender (table S10), information search behavior during the survey (table S11).

Reasons to believe or disbelieve misinformation

Overall, our quantitative findings indicated higher levels of belief in Covid-19 misinformation compared to dengue misinformation. These disparities were also corroborated by our qualitative data, with participants providing more reasons to justify beliefs in Covid-19 misinformation (e.g., "I don't trust Covid vaccines, I have full confidence in other vaccines").



IOI

Vol. 42 (1): 88-125, 2025 ISSN 2011-7485

(on line)

Wagner de Lara Machado

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

A minimum of 150 participants provided responses to questions regarding reasons for believing or disbelieving misinformation. To enhance data manageability and analysis, only reasons endorsed by at least 5% ($n \ge 7$) of participants were included in subsequent analyses. The main reasons are listed in table 4. Most reasons were displayed as an answer option to the questions "why you believe that this information is true/false?" during the survey. Only the reasons beginning with "other:" were formulated based on a synthesis of the comments (Bardin content analysis) provided by participants in the "other, which?" answer option.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics for reasons to believe or disbelieve misinformation

Misinformation	Reasons why believe ¹		Reasons why don't believe ¹	N°
	Belief that vaccines are not reliable	13*	Belief that vaccines are reliable	94*
Covid-19	Health professionals said it was true	Ю	See the information in mainstream media	15
supervirus	-	-	Health professionals said it was false	22
	-	-	Other I: previous knowledge/ beliefs	17
	See the information in social media	9	Belief that vaccines are reliable	68*
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in	The belief that vaccines are not reliable	II	See the information in mainstream media	II
children	See the information in mainstream media	Ю	Health professionals said it was false	34
	Health professionals said it was true	25*	Other 1: previous knowledge/ beliefs	18
	Used as prevention and had no Covid-19	20*	See the information in social media	16
Ivermectin	Health professionals said it was true	15	See the information in mainstream media	40
for Covid-19 prevention and	Used as a treatment and got better	12	Health professionals said it was false	69*
treatment	See the information in social media	7	Other 1: previous knowledge/ beliefs	IO
	Family or peers believe in the information	7	Family or peers believe in the information	7

Continúa...



	See the information in mainstream media	8*	See the information in social media	10
	-		See the information in mainstream media	34
Dengue GMO (MOSQUITO)	-		Health professionals said it was false	50*
	-	-	Other I: previous knowledge/ beliefs	23
	-	-	Other 2: never saw the information	12
	See the information in social media	9	See the information in social media	IO
	See the information in mainstream media	10*	Health professionals said it was false	39*
D Vin	Family or peers believe in the information	7	See the information in mainstream media	28
Dengue Vinegar	-		Family or peers believe in the information	7
	-		Other I: previous knowledge/ beliefs	17
	-		Other 2: never saw the information	II
	-		See the information in social media	II
	-		See the information in mainstream media	40
Ivermectin for dengue prevention and treatment	-		Health professionals said it was false	63*
and treatment	-		Other I: previous knowledge/ beliefs	14
	-		Other 2: never saw the information	10

Note. ^IParticipants could provide more than one reason why they believed (or not) in the misinformation, but the same participant could not provide both reasons to believe and disbelieve; *Most reported.

Source: own elaboration.

The main reasons for believing misinformation about Covid-19 were: 1) listening to health professionals who reinforce misinformation; 2) using ivermectin as prevention and not having contracted Covid-19; and 3) belief that vaccines are unreliable. Similarly, the main reasons for not believing in the Covid-19 misinformation were: 1) Perceived vaccine reliability; and 2) listen to health professionals who refute misinformation. Other reasons for not believing the misinformation related to Covid-19 supervirus, vaccine side effects, and ivermectin for prevention/treatment were related to: I) previous knowledge/beliefs (e.g., "ivermectin



Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado



IO4

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

is a dewormer, not an antiviral"; "Covid-19 is a virus, basic biology"; "vaccines don't create super viruses"; "many countries already vaccinate children").

Regarding dengue, the main reason for believing the misinformation was: I) seeing the information being disseminated in mainstream media. No reasons were listed for the misinformation related to the ivermectin use, as only IO participants believed this information and provided different reasons for their belief. On the other hand, the main reason for not believing in the dengue misinformation was to listen to health professionals who refute misinformation. Other reasons for not believing the misinformation related to dengue GMO, vinegar, and ivermectin as dengue prevention/intervention strategy was related to: I) previous knowledge/beliefs (e.g., "these mosquitoes were bred and monitored by Fiocruz"; vinegar does not kill larvae"; "ivermectin does not kill virus"); and 2) never saw the information (e.g., "I haven't seen this news"; "I've never heard of this happening").

Discussion

The main aim of this paper was to compare belief levels in Covid-19 and dengue misinformation in a sample from the RJ. Our primary finding indicates that participants exhibited greater belief in Covid-19 misinformation compared to dengue misinformation. Specifically, misinformation concerning Covid-19 vaccine side effects in children and dengue GMOs was perceived as accurate by a substantial proportion of the sample. Conversely, few individuals endorsed misinformation about vinegar and ivermectin as dengue prevention or intervention strategies. This discrepancy is noteworthy, particularly given the higher prevalence of beliefs in ivermectin's efficacy against Covid-19 (28.05%) relative to dengue (6.7%). The observed disparity in misinformation beliefs between Covid-19 and dengue might be attributed to several factors.

For instance, the Brazilian government's denialist stance during the pandemic (Carvalho et al., 2022; Martins-Filho & Barberia, 2022; Silva et al., 2023; Souto et al., 2024), alongside with recommendations regarding the use of ivermectin as part of a so-called "early treatment for Covid-19" (Hentschke-Lopes et al., 2022; Silva et al., 2023), likely contributed to the proliferation of ivermectin-related beliefs. Additionally, the promotion of ivermectin use and anti-vaccination campaigns by healthcare professionals during Covid-19 pandemic (Hentschke-Lopes et al., 2022; Silva et al., 2023; Paumgartten & Oliveira, 2020), as well as



RIO DE JANEIRO

the widespread circulation of Covid-19-related fake news on mainstream and social media platforms (Carvalho et al., 2022; Souto et al., 2024), likely exacerbated the spread of misinformation. However, given the concurrent circulation of dengue-related misinformation about ivermectin and vinegar on Brazilian media platforms (MS, 2024; Estadão, 2024; Uol, 2024), the observed discrepancy does not appear consistent with a recency effect. (i.e., a cognitive bias that favors recent events over historical ones; a memory bias - Wyler & Oswald, 2016). If this were the case, a higher prevalence of dengue misinformation would be expected, especially considering the severity of the dengue epidemic in RJ during this study period (SES-RJ, 2024).

The proposed explanations are supported by the findings related to the reasons for believing and disbelieving misinformation, as well as the associations between sociodemographic factors, health outcomes, and misinformation beliefs. Perceived vaccine reliability and information received from healthcare professionals emerged as primary determinants of both beliefs and disbeliefs in Covid-19 misinformation. These findings align with previous national and international research linking trust in science, vaccines, and healthcare institutions to vaccination uptake (Carvalho et al., 2022; Del Riccio et al., 2021; Roozenbeek et al., 2020; Salvador et al., 2023; Souto et al., 2024; Oliveira et al., 2024). Conversely, reliance on mainstream media as a source of information was associated with belief in dengue misinformation, while trust in healthcare professionals remained a key factor in disbelief. These results corroborate prior research on Covid-19 and dengue, highlighting the critical role of both traditional and social media in both the dissemination and correction of misinformation during public health crises (Lwin et al., 2021; Oliveira et al., 2024; Gagnon-Dufresne et al., 2023).

Furthermore, our findings reveal that for each unit increase in the score for Covid-19 vaccine doses number, there is greater 277% times the odds of the individual not believing the misinformation about Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children, less than 73% and 79% odds of the individual believe in the misinformation about ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention/treatment, and the misinformation about dengue GMO respectively. Moreover, individuals with no intention to receive or administer the Covid-19 vaccine to their children exhibited significantly higher belief in all Covid-19 misinformation and slightly to moderate belief in dengue GMO and ivermectin misinformation. Similar patterns were observed for dengue vaccination intentions with strong associations





BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

RIO DE JANEIRO

between unwillingness to vaccinate children for dengue and belief in Covid-19 misinformation. These findings aligned with previous national and international research demonstrating that belief in misinformation related to Covid-19 reduces the intention to get vaccinated and to vaccinate their children (Carvalho et al., 2022; Del Riccio et al., 2021; Roozenbeek et al., 2020; Salvador et al., 2023; Souto et al., 2024; Oliveira et al., 2024), as well as increase the willing to use ivermectin (Van Scoy et al., 2023; Silva et al., 2023). This is especially true in Brazil, with some authors suggesting that the "Covid kit" (including ivermectin and chloroquine as prevention/treatment) promoted by the government may have contributed to reduced adherence to vaccination (Silva et al., 2023).

While prior research has not explicitly examined the connection between Covid-19 vaccination intentions and dengue-related misinformation, our findings align with previous authors who suggest that misinformation and conspiracy theories can negatively impact overall vaccination uptake (Allington et al., 2021). The observed lack of association between dengue vaccination intentions and dengue misinformation may be attributed to the small sample size (n=7) of individuals who did not intend to vaccinate their children against dengue.

Our findings also reveal that right-wing individuals were moderately more inclined to believe in Covid-19 supervirus, dengue GMO, and ivermectin misinformation, being strongly more inclined to believe in both Covid-19 misinformation about vaccine side-effects and ivermectin as prevention/treatment. These findings align with previous national and international research linking rightwing ideology and political conservatism to increased susceptibility to Covid-19 misinformation in Ireland, Mexico, Spain (Roozenbeek et al., 2020), USA (Calvillo et al., 2020), and Brazil (Ramos et al., 2022). In addition, in April 2024 we searched Pubmed, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Virtual Health Library using broad keywords (i.e., misinformation and dengue) to discuss our findings regarding dengue misinformation and political views. Unfortunately, we were unable to find papers to discuss our findings, our searches retrieved only between eight and 46 papers in each database. The scarcity of studies in this area highlights a significant knowledge gap and underscores the need for further national and international research to investigate potential links between political views and dengue misinformation beliefs.

We also found that individuals who search health information on social media demonstrated a slightly increased likelihood of endorsing Covid-19



misinformation but not dengue misinformation. This finding aligns with a systematic review indicating that reliance on social media is associated with greater susceptibility to health misinformation compared to individuals who trust healthcare professionals or scientists (Nan et al., 2022). However, as only one study within this review originated from Brazil (Carey et al., 2020), further Brazilian studies are warranted to investigate the predictive role of social media use in the belief in health-related misinformation.

Our analysis of control and sociodemographic variables revealed a limited impact on misinformation beliefs. Health professionals exhibited a slight tendency to disbelieve in ivermectin as a Covid-19 prevention or treatment. No significant associations were found between misinformation beliefs and other variables, including profession (i.e., healthcare professional vs. non-healthcare professional), social media use, gender, and information search behavior during the survey. These findings align with previous Brazilian studies documenting off-label treatment recommendations and anti-vaccination stances among healthcare professionals (Hentschke-Lopes et al., 2022; Silva et al., 2023; Paumgartten & Oliveira, 2020), as well as by the Brazilian Health Minister during the Covid-19 pandemic period (Martins-Filho & Barberia, 2022).

It is noteworthy that in addition to misinformation about dengue vinegar being the second least believed, this misinformation has no significant association with any sociodemographic or health-related outcomes. On the other hand, although misinformation about ivermectin for the prevention/treatment of dengue was the least believed, we found associations with sociodemographic and health-related outcomes. This discrepancy may be attributed to the widespread dissemination of ivermectin-related misinformation during the Covid-19 pandemic, which likely primed individuals to accept similar claims in the context of dengue (Hentschke-Lopes et al., 2022; Silva et al., 2023; Paumgartten & Oliveira, 2020). Given the recent emergence of dengue vaccine misinformation (MS, 2024), it is reasonable to infer that when large-scale vaccination begins, there may also be an increase in the dissemination of misinformation challenging the efficacy of dengue vaccines in Brazil. Therefore, proactive measures targeting the public and healthcare professionals are warranted to prevent the proliferation of these harmful narratives and their potential impact on vaccine uptake and public health outcomes.





Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Lastly, it is essential to interpret our findings within the context of the study's limitations. The sample, primarily composed of middle-aged to older adults from upper-middle and high-income backgrounds with higher education, may not accurately represent the broader Rio de Janeiro population. Furthermore, we had a limited number of healthcare professionals (n = 21) in the sample. Therefore, findings related to differences between healthcare professionals and the general RJ population should be interpreted with caution. To address these limitations, future research should involve larger, more representative samples of the general population and healthcare professionals across diverse socioeconomic and demographic strata within Brazil.

Conclusion

In sum, the current paper is valuable because it has some strengths. The main one is that, as far as we know, this is the first study investigating possible differences in levels of belief in misinformation about Covid-19 and dengue, as well as associations between sociodemographic, health-related outcomes and beliefs in misinformation regarding dengue. We found preliminary evidence indicating that the RJ population may hold stronger beliefs in Covid-19 misinformation compared to dengue misinformation, with right-wing individuals exhibiting heightened susceptibility to both. These results hint at a potential consolidation of certain misinformation as factual knowledge, possibly influenced by the pervasive misinformation landscape during the pandemic. Alternatively, the sustained prevalence of Covid-19 misinformation compared to other health issues may contribute to this disparity. Further studies should address these hypotheses.

Additionally, our findings indicate a positive correlation between the number of Covid-19 vaccine doses received and lower susceptibility to any presented misinformation. Conversely, higher levels of misinformation belief were associated with decreased intention to receive both Covid-19 and dengue vaccines, as well as reduced intent to vaccinate children against Covid-19, but not for dengue. Individuals who searched for health information on social media were slightly more inclined to believe in all Covid-19 misinformation, but not in any of the dengue misinformation. Although healthcare professionals demonstrated slightly lower belief in ivermectin as a Covid-19 treatment, trust in vaccines, information from healthcare professionals, and mainstream media emerged as key factors





Given the observed disparities in misinformation beliefs between dengue and Covid-19, and the pivotal role of trust in healthcare professionals and information sources, further research is imperative to elucidate the impact of misinformation disseminated by both health authorities and professionals on public perceptions and behaviors related to Covid-19 and arboviruses. A deeper understanding of the mechanisms through which misinformation influences health-related beliefs and behaviors is crucial. Moreover, developing effective strategies to counter misinformation propagated by these trusted sources, as well as addressing the underlying denialism that may fuel its spread, represents a critical public health challenge.

Note

In 2024 the Brazilian minimum wagewas R\$ 1.412 (\$ 282.4). A mean of R\$ 9963,64 indicates that our samples represent mainly the upper-middle population.

References

- Allington, D., McAndrew, S., Moxham-Hall, V., & Duffy, B. (2023). Coronavirus conspiracy suspicions, general vaccine attitudes, trust, and coronavirus information source as predictors of vaccine hesitancy among UK residents during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychological Medicine*, 53(1), 236-247. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721001434
- Bardin, L. (2016). Análise de Conteúdo. São Paulo: Edições 70.
- Calvillo, D. P., Ross, B. J., Garcia, R. J., Smelter, T. J., & Rutchick, A. M. (2020). Political ideology predicts perceptions of the threat of COVID-19 (and susceptibility to fake news about it). *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, II(8), III9-II28. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550620940539
- Carey, J. M., Chi, V., Flynn, D. J., Nyhan, B., & Zeitzoff, T. (2020). The effects of corrective information about disease epidemics and outbreaks: Evidence from Zika and yellow fever in Brazil. *Science Advances*, 6(5), eaaw7449. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw7449
- Carvalho, E. D. M., Santos Junior, M. A. D., Neves, L. F. F., Oliveira, T. M. D., Massarani, L., & Carvalho, M. S. (2022). Vacinas e redes sociais: o debate em torno das vacinas no Instagram e Facebook durante a pandemia de COVID-19 (2020-2021). Cadernos de Saúde Pública, 38(11), e00054722. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XPT054722
- Del Riccio, M., Boccalini, S., Rigon, L., Biamonte, M. A., Albora, G., Giorgetti, D., ... & Bechini, A. (2021). Factors influencing SARS-CoV-2 vaccine acceptance and



109

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,



ISSN 2011-7485

(on line)

- hesitancy in a population-based sample in Italy. *Vaccines*, 9(6), 633. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9060633
- Estadão (2024, 19 February). Vinagre de álcool não é recomendado como medida para combater mosquito da dengue. Retrieved from https://www.estadao.com.br/estadao-verifica/vinagre-alcool-combate-mosquito-dengue
- Gagnon-Dufresne, M. C., Dantas, M. A., Silva, K. A., Dos Anjos, J. S., Barbosa, D. P. C., Rosa, R. P., Luca, W., Zahreddine, M., Caprara, A., Ridde, V., & Zinszer, K. (2023). Social media and the influence of fake news on global health interventions: implications for a study on Dengue in Brazil. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(7), 5299. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20075299
- Hentschke-Lopes, M., Botton, M. R., Borges, P., Freitas, M., Mancuso, A. C. B., & Matte, U. (2022). Sales of "COVID kit" drugs and adverse drug reactions reported by the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*, 38(7), e00001022. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XEN001022
- Kim, J. H. (2019). Multicollinearity and misleading statistical results. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 72(6), 558-569. https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.19087
- Lwin, M. O., Lee, S. Y., Panchapakesan, C., & Tandoc, E. (2023). Mainstream news media's role in public health communication during crises: assessment of coverage and correction of COVID-19 misinformation. *Health Communication*, 38(1), 160-168. https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2021.1937842
- Martins-Filho, P. R., & Barberia, L. G. (2022). The unjustified and politicized battle against vaccination of children and adolescents in Brazil. *The Lancet Regional Health Americas*, 8, 100206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2022.100206
- Ministério da Saúde (2024, OI April). Esclarecimentos sobre a dengue em sete tópicos. Retrieved from https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/assuntos/saude-com-ciencia/noticias/esclarecimentos-sobre-a-dengue-em-sete-topicos
- Nan, X., Wang, Y., & Thier, K. (2022). Why do people believe health misinformation and who is at risk? A systematic review of individual differences in susceptibility to health misinformation. *Social Science & Medicine*, 314, 115398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115398
- Oliveira, T., Cardoso, N. D. O., Machado, W. D. L., Aragon, G. R., Quinan, R., Zorgi, E. S., . . . & Paes, A. (2024). Confronting misinformation related to health and the environment: a systematic review. *Journal of Science Communication*, 23(1), Voi. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.23010901
- Paumgartten, F. J. R., & Oliveira, A. C. A. X. D. (2020). Off label, compassionate and irrational use of medicines in Covid-19 pandemic, health consequences and ethical issues. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 25(9), 3413-3419. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232020259.16792020
- Ramos, M. D. M., Machado, R. D. O., & Cerqueira-Santos, E. (2022). "It's true! I saw it on WhatsApp": Social Media, Covid-19, and Political-Ideological Orientation in Brazil. *Trends in Psychology*, 30(2), 570-590. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43076-021-00129-4



IIO

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,



BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

RIO DE JANEIRO

- Ricaurte, D. Z. (2021). Un llamado a las ciencias del comportamiento para combatir la pandemia de la COVID-19. *Psicología desde el Caribe*, 38(1), 1-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.14482/psdc.38.1.616.2
- Roozenbeek, J., Schneider, C. R., Dryhurst, S., Kerr, J., Freeman, A. L., Recchia, G., Bles, M., & Linden, S. (2020). Susceptibility to misinformation about COVID-19 around the world. *Royal Society Open Science*, 7, 201199. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.201199
- Salvador, P. T. C. D., Alves, K. Y. A., Carvalho, K. R. S. D., Nehab, M. F., Camacho, K. G. F., Reis, A. T., Junqueira-Marinho, M. F., Abramov, D. M., Azevedo, Z. M. A., Salú, M. S., Vasconcelos, Z. F. M., Junior, S. C. S. G., Filho, O. C. S., & Moore, D. C. B. C. (2023). Inquérito online sobre os motivos para hesitação vacinal contra a COVID-19 em crianças e adolescentes do Brasil. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*, 39(10), e00159122. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XPT159122
- Secretaria Estadual de Saúde do Rio de Janeiro. (2024, 21 February). Governo do Estado decreta epidemia de dengue no Rio de Janeiro. Retrieved from https://www.saude.rj.gov.br/noticias/2024/02/governo-do-estado-decreta-epidemia-de-dengue-no-rio-de-janeiro
- Silva, N. B. L. D., Rocha, R. P. D. S., Andrade, A. C. D. S., Terças-Trettel, A. C., & Muraro, A. P. (2023). Prevalence of Ivermectin use to prevent COVID-19 during the pandemic in Mato Grosso: cross-sectional home-based study. *Revista Brasileira de Epidemiologia*, 26, e230026. https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720230026
- Souto, E. P., Fernandez, M. V., Rosário, C. A., Petra, P. C., & Matta, G. C. (2024). Hesitação vacinal infantil e COVID-19: uma análise a partir da percepção dos profissionais de saúde. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*, 40(3), e00061523. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XPT061523
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics*. Boston: Pearson.
- Uol (2024, 07 February). Dengue é prevenida eliminando focos de mosquito, não com vinagre de álcool. Retrieved from https://noticias.uol.com.br/confere/ultimas-noticias/2024/02/07/e-falso-que-vinagre-de-alcool-previna-dengue.htm
- Van Scoy, L. J., Duda, S. H., Scott, A. M., Baker, A., Costigan, H., Loeffler, M., Sheman, M. S., & Brown, M. D. (2023). A mixed methods study exploring requests for unproven COVID therapies such as ivermectin and healthcare distrust in the rural South. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 31, 102104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2022.102104
- Wiyono, L., Rocha, I. C. N., Cedeño, T. D. D., Miranda, A. V., & Lucero-Prisno III, D. E. (2021). Dengue and COVID-19 infections in the ASEAN region: a concurrent outbreak of viral diseases. *Epidemiology and Health*, 43, e2021070. https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2021070
- World Health Organization (2024, 25 April). *Infodemic*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/health-topics/infodemic



Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

Wyler, H., & Oswald, M. E. (2016). Why misinformation is reported: Evidence from a warning and a source-monitoring task. Memory, 24(10), 1419-1434. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2015.1117641

Xavier, D. R., Magalhães, M. D. A. F. M., Gracie, R., Reis, I. C. D., Matos, V. P. D., & Barcellos, C. (2017). Difusão espaço-tempo do dengue no Município do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, no período de 2000-2013. Cadernos de Saúde Pública, 33(2), e00186615. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00186615



112

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF



Table S1. Fisher's exact test comparisons between political views and beliefs in misinformation

		Political view						
Covid-19 Super virus		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total		
	Count	63	9	16	31	119		
False	Expected count	54.54	8.26	26.44	29.75	119		
	Standardized residuals	I.I	.3	-2.0	.2			
True	Count	3	I	16	5	25		
True	Expected count	11.46	I.74	5.56	6.25	25		
	Standardized residuals	-2.5	6	4.4	5			
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	27.62, <i>p</i> < .001,	φ=.47						
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total		
	Count	63	8	3	24	98		
False	Expected count	44.24	6.81	21.78	25.18	98		
	Standardized residuals	2.8	.5	-4.0	2			
	Count	2	2	29	13	46		
True	Expected count	20.76	3.19	IO.22	11.82	46		
	Standardized residuals	-4.I	7	.59	.3			
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	81.71, <i>p</i> < .001,	φ = .73						
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total		
	Count	65	7	6	28	106		
False	Expected count	48.58	7.36	22.08	27.97	106		
	Standardized residuals	2.5	I	-3.4	.0			
	Count	I	3	24	IO	38		
True	Expected count	17.42	2.64	7.92	10.03	38		
	Standardized residuals	-3.9	.2	.57	.0			
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	67.38, <i>p</i> < .001,	$67.38, p < .001, \varphi = .67$						
Dengue GMO (mosquito)		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total		
	Count	63	9	20	29	121		
False	Expected count	55.39	8.52	25.56	31.53	121		
	Standardized residuals	I.O	.2	-I.I	5			



113

Wagner de Lara Machado

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF



	Count	2	I	IO	8	21
True	Expected count	9.61	1.48	4.43	5.47	21
	Standardized residuals	-2.5	4	2.6	I.I	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	17.18, p < .001,	17.18, p < .001, φ = .34				

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

Table S1. Fisher's exact test comparisons between political views and beliefs in misinformation (continuation)

		Political view					
Vinegar		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total	
	Count	52	7	20	27	106	
False	Expected count	50.66	7.79	21.04	26.5	106	
	Standardized residuals	.2	3	2	.I		
	Count	13	3	7	7	30	
True	Expected count	14.34	2.21	5.96	7.5	30	
	Standardized residuals	4	.5	.4	2		
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	1.08, p = .80,	$1.08, p = .80, \varphi = .07$					
Ivermectin for dengue prevention and treatment		Left- wing	Center	Right- wing	No political preference	Total	
False	Count	66	IO	24	33	133	
	Expected count	61.82	9.37	27.16	34.65	133	
	Standardized residuals	.5	.2	6	3		
True	Count	0	0	5	4	9	
	Expected count	4.18	.63	1.84	2.34	9	
	Standardized residuals	20	8	2.3	I.I		
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	11.96, p = .003,						

Source: own elaboration.



114

Wagner de Lara Machado

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF



Covid-19 Super virus	in social media			Dengue GMO (Mosquito)		inform in so	olth nation ocial dia		
		No	Yes	Total			No	Yes	Total
	Count	82	60	142		Count	74	61	135
P 1	Expected count	73.81	68.19	142	P.I	Expected count	72.34	62.77	135
False	Standardized residuals	3.09	-3.09		False	Standardized residuals	.82	82	
	Count	Ю	25	35		Count	IO	12	22
True	Expected count	18.19	16.81	35	True	Expected count	11.77	10.23	22
True	Standardized residuals	-3.09	3.09		True	Standardized residuals	82	.82	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 177) =	= 9.57, p =	.002, φ =	.23	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (I, N = I57) =	= .67, p =	4Ι, φ =	
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	72	4I	113	- False	Count	65	48	113
Ealaa	Expected count	60.54	52.46	113		Expected count	60.23	52.73	113
False	Standardized residuals	3.78	-3.78			Standardized residuals	1.8	-1.8	
	Count	18	37	55		Count	15	22	37
True	Expected count	29.46	25.54	55	True	Expected count	19.73	17.27	37
True	Standardized residuals	-3.78	3.78		True	Standardized residuals	-1.8	1.8	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I68) =	: 14.28, p <	< .001, φ =	= .29	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (1, N = 150) = 3.23, p = .07, φ = .15			
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	71	47	118		Count	78	61	139
False	Expected count	62.6	55.4	118	False	Expected count	75.56	64.44	139
raise	Standardized residuals	2.93	-2.93		raise	Standardized residuals	1.6	-1.6	
	Count	16	30	46		Count	3	7	IO
True	Expected count	24.4	21.6	46	True	Expected count	5.44	4.56	
True	Standardized residuals	-2.93	2.93		1140	Standardized residuals	-1.6	1.6	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 164)	= 8.56, p =	.003, φ =	.23	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	1.08, p = .18, q) = .13		

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

115

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

Table S3. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests comparisons between intend to receive new COVID-19 vaccines and belief in misinformation

Covid-19 Super virus		Intend to receive new covid-19 vaccines		Dengue GMO (Mosquito)	Intend to receive new covid-19 vaccines		ve new vid-19		
		No	Yes	Total			No	Yes	Total
	Count	27	95	122		Count	34	90	124
False	Expected count	40.67	81.33	122	False	Expected count	41.9	82.1	124
	Standardized residuals	-6.36	6.36			Standardized residuals	-3.94	3.94	
True	Count	22	3	25		Count	15	6	21
True	Expected count	8.33	16.67	25	True	Expected count	7.I	13.9	21
	Standardized residuals	6.36	-6.36		1140	Standardized residuals	3.94	-3.94	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) = 40.51, p		< .001, φ	= .52	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X_2 (1, N = 145) = 15.55, p < .001, $φ$ = .33			
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	9	91	100		Count	31	76	107
False	Expected count	34.01	65.99	100	False	Expected count	35.41	71.59	107
	Standardized residuals	9.34	-9.34		1 4100	Standardized residuals	-1.89	1.89	
	Count	41	6	47		Count	15	17	32
True	Expected count	15.99	31.01	47	True	Expected count	10.59	21.41	32
	Standardized residuals	-9.34	9.34			Standardized residuals	1.89	-1.89	
X2 (DF, N°), p -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) =	87.19, p	< .001, φ	= .77	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	$X_2(I, N = I_{39}) = 3.57, p = .059, \varphi = .16$			
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	14	93	107		Count	38	97	135
False	Expected count	36.39	70.6	107	False	Expected count	43.12	91.87	135
	Standardized residuals	-8.76	8.76			Standardized residuals	3.78	-3.78	
	Count	36	4	40		Count	8	I	9
True	Expected count	13.60	26.4	40	True	Expected count	2.87	6.1	9
11.40€	Standardized residuals	8.76	-8.76			Standardized residuals	-3.78	3.78	
X2 (DF, N°), p -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) =	76.74, p	< .001, φ	= .72	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	2.99, p < .001, q) = .3I		

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

116

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

PSICOLOGÍA

Covid-19 Super virus		1	nd to re gue vac		Dengue GMO (Mosquito)		rec	end to eeive ngue ecine	
		No	Yes	Total			No	Yes	Total
	Count	12	IIO	122		Count	18	106	124
False	Expected count	24.07	97.93	122	False	Expected count	23.94	100.05	124
14400	Standardized residuals	-6.66	6.66		1 4100	Standardized residuals	-3.55	3.55	
True	Count	17	8	25		Count	10	II	21
True	Expected count	4.93	20.07	25	True	Expected count	4.05	16.94	21
	Standardized residuals	6.66	-6.66		1140	Standardized residuals	3.55	-3.55	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I47) =	44.32, p <	.00Ι, φ=	= .55	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	1.66, p < .00	οι, φ = .29)	
Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	4	96	100		Count	18	89	107
False	Expected count	20.41	79.59	100	False	Expected count	21.55	85.45	107
	Standardized residuals	7.2	-7.2			Standardized residuals	-1.78	1.78	
	Count	26	21	47	True	Count	10	22	32
True	Expected count	9.6	37.41	47		Expected count	6.45	25.55	32
	Standardized residuals	-7.2	7.2			Standardized residuals	1.78	-1.78	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) =	51.84, p <	.001, φ =	.59	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (1, N = 139) = 3.	19, p = .07	7, φ = .15	
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	6	IOI	107		Count	22	113	135
False	Expected count	21.11	85.89	107	False	Expected count	25.31	109.7	135
1 4400	Standardized residuals	-7.04	7.04		1 4100	Standardized residuals	-2.92	2.92	
	Count	23	17	40		Count	5	4	9
True	True Expected count 7.87 32.11	40	True	Expected count	1.69	7.31	9		
True	Standardized residuals	7.04	-7.04			Standardized residuals	2.92	2.92	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) =	49.51, p <	.00Ι, φ =	.58	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	1.84, p = .01, φ =	24		

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

117

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)



Table S5. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests comparisons between have a child and belief in misinformation

Covid-19 Super virus			lave chi		Dengue GMO		Have (< 18 y		
		No	Yes	Total	(Mosquito)		No	Yes	Total
	Count	73	49	122		Count	80	44	124
False	Expected count	78.01	43.99	122	False	Expected count	79.53	44.47	124
	Standardized residuals	-2.29	2.29			Standardized residuals	.23	23	
True	Count	21	4	25		Count	13	8	21
True	Expected count	15.99	9.01	25	True	Expected count	13.47	7.5	21
	Standardized residuals	2.29	-2.29			Standardized residuals	23	.23	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I47) = 5.2	25, p = .02	22, φ = .Ι	9	X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (1, N = 145) = 0.05, j	n = .82, φ =	= .02	
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	62	38	100		Count	68	39	107
False	Expected count	64.63	35.37	100	False	Expected count	67.74	39.26	107
	Standardized residuals	97	.97			Standardized residuals	.II	II	
	Count	33	14	47		Count	20	12	32
True	Expected count	30.38	16.63	47	True	Expected count	20.26	11.74	32
	Standardized residuals	.97	97			Standardized residuals	II	.II	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 147) = .9)4, p = .33	3 , φ = .08		X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (1, N = 139) = 0.01,	p = .91, φ =	IO. =	
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	66	41	107		Count	87	48	135
False	Expected count	68.42	38.58	107	False	Expected count	85.31	49.69	
	Standardized residuals	93	.93			Standardized residuals	1.2	-I.2	
	Count	28	12	40		Count	4	5	9
True	Expected count	25.58	14.42	40	True	Expected count	5.69	3.31	9
	Standardized residuals	.93	93			Standardized residuals -1.2		1.2	
X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I47) = .8	37, p = .35	ς, φ = .08		Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	$1.25, p = .23, \varphi = .1$			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

118

Table S6. Fisher's exact tests comparisons between intention to vaccinate child with new Covid-19 vaccines and belief in misinformation

Covid-19 Super virus		Vaccina new C	ate chil ovid va		Dengue GMO (Mosquito)		Vaccina with ne vacc	w Covid	
		No	Yes	Total			No	Yes	Total
	Count	12	36	48		Count	IO	33	43
False	Expected count	13.85	34.15	48	False	Expected count	12.65	30.35	43
	Standardized residuals	-2.12	2.12			Standardized residuals	-2.23	2.23	
True	Count	3	I	4		Count	5	3	8
True	Expected count	1.15	2.85	4	True	Expected count	2.35	5.65	8
	Standardized residuals	2.12	-2.12			Standardized residuals	2.23	-2.23	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	2.15, p = .07, φ	= .29			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	1.66, <i>p</i> = .04, φ = .31			
Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	2	35	37		Count	II	27	38
False	Expected count	10.88	26.12	37	False	Expected count	11.4	26.6	38
	Standardized residuals	-6.12	6.12			Standardized residuals	29	.29	
	Count	13	I	14		Count	4	8	12
True	Expected count	4.12	9.88	14	True	Expected count	3.6	8.4	12
	Standardized residuals	6.12	-6.12			Standardized residuals	.29	29	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	5.13, p < .001, φ	e .86			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	.20, <i>p</i> = I.00, φ = .04			
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	6	34	40		Count	II	36	47
False	Expected count	11.54	28.46	40	False	Expected count	13.56	33.44	47
	Standardized residuals	-4.02	4.02			Standardized residuals	-2.66	2.66	
	Count	9	3	12		Count	4	I	5
True	Expected count	3.46	8.54	12	True	Expected count	1.44	3.56	5
	Standardized residuals	4.02	-4.02			Standardized residuals	2.66	-2.66	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	2.75, p < .001, q	p = .56			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	2.51, $p = .02$, $\varphi = .37$			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado



Table S7. Fisher's exact tests comparisons between intention to vaccinate child for dengue and belief in misinformation

Covid-19 Super virus		Intends child	to vac		Dengue GMO (Mosquito)	Intene vaccii child deng		inate d for	
		No	Yes	Total			No	Yes	Total
	Count	4	44	48		Count	5	38	43
False	Expected count	6.46	41.54	48	False	Expected count	5.9	37.1	43
	Standardized residuals	-3.75	3.75			Standardized residuals	-I.I	I.I	
T	Count	3	I	4		Count	2	6	8
True	Expected count	.54	3.46	4	True	Expected count	I.I	6.9	8
	Standardized residuals	3.75	-3.75			Standardized residuals	I.I	-I.I	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	3.35, p = .006, 0	ρ=.52			Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	.91, p = .30, φ = .1.	4		
Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	I	36	37		Count	4	34	38
False	Expected count	5.08	31.92	37	False	Expected count	5.32	32.68	38
	Standardized residuals	-3.72	3.72			Standardized residuals	-1.26	1.26	
	Count	6	8	14		Count	3	9	12
True	Expected count	1.92	12.08	14	True	Expected count	1.68	10.32	12
	Standardized residuals	3.72	-3.72			Standardized residuals	1.26	-1.26	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	3.21, p < .001, (ρ = .52			Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	1.02, p = .34, φ = .18			
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	I	39	40		Count	5	42	47
False	Expected count	5.38	34.61	40	False	Expected count	6.33	40.67	47
	Standardized residuals	-4.23	4.23			Standardized residuals	-1.83	1.83	
	Count	6	6	12		Count	2	3	5
True	Expected count	1.61	10.38	12	True	Expected count	.67	67	5
	Standardized residuals	4.23	-4.23			Standardized residuals	1.83	-1.83	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	3.48, p < .001, 0	ρ = .59			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	$1.67, p = .13, \varphi = .25$			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration

120

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani, Wagner de Lara Machado

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF

THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)



Table S8. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests comparisons between being a health professional and belief in misinformation

Covid-19 Super virus			Health fessio		Dengue GMO		Health professional		
		No	Yes	Total	(Mosquito)		No	Yes	Total
	Count	IOI	20	121		Count	104	19	123
False	Expected count	103.6	17.4	121	False	Expected count	105.06	17.94	123
	Standardized residuals	-1.62	1.62			Standardized residuals	71	.71	
True	Count	24	I	25		Count	19	2	21
True	Expected count	21.4	3.6	25	True	Expected count	17.94	3.05	21
	Standardized residuals	1.62	-1.62			Standardized residuals	.71	71	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	1.55, p = .13, φ =	= .13			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	$.55, p = .74, \varphi = .0$	6		
Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	83	16	99		Count	91	15	106
False	Expected count	84.76	14.24	99	False	Expected count	27.59	4.41	106
	Standardized residuals	89	.89		!	Standardized residuals	24	.24	
	Count	42	5	47		Count	28	4	32
True	Expected count	40.24	6.76	47	True	Expected count	37.59	4.41	32
	Standardized residuals	.89	89			Standardized residuals	.24	24	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, hi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I46) = .79	p = .37	φ=.07	7	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	.14, p = 1.00, φ = .02			
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	87	19	106		Count	113	21	134
False	Expected count	90.75	15.24	106	False	Expected count	114.32	19.68	134
	Standardized residuals	-2.00	2.00			Standardized residuals	-1.29	1.29	
	Count	38	2	40		Count	9	0	9
True	Expected count	34.25	5.75	40	True	Expected count	7.68	1.32	9
	Standardized residuals	2.00	-2.00			Standardized residuals	1.29	-1.29	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 146) = 3.94	1, p = .04	7, φ = .	16	Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	$1.67, p = .13, \varphi = .25$			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massaran; Wagner de Lara Machado



		Most	used so	ocial n nform		for he	alth
Covid-19 Super virus		Inst	FB	X	YT	WA	Total
	Count	9	21	5	23	2	60
False	Expected count	7.9	20	5	25	2.I	50
raise	Standardized residuals	.4	.2	.0	4	I	
T	Count	2	7	2	12	I	24
True	Expected count	3.1	8	2	IO	.9	24
	Standardized residuals	6	4	.0	.6	.2	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	I.57, p =.89, 0	φ = .13					
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		Inst	FB	x	YT	WA	Total
	Count	6	17	3	14	I	41
False	Expected count	5.3	13.8	3.7	16.5	1.6	41
raise	Standardized residuals	.3	.8	4	6	5	
T	Count	4	9	4	17	2	36
True	Expected count	4.7	12.2	3.3	14.5	I.4	36
	Standardized residuals	3	9	.4	.7	.5	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	$3.43, p = .52, \phi = .21$						
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		Inst	FB	x	YT	WA	Total
	Count	9	17	4	16	I	47
False	Expected count	6.2	15.5	4.3	19.2	1.9	47
raise	Standardized residuals	I.I	.4	2	7	6	
T	Count	I	8	3	15	2	29
True	Expected count	3.8	9.5	2.7	11.8	I.I	29
	Standardized residuals	-1.4	5	.2	.9	.8	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	6.33, <i>p</i> =.16, 0	φ = .28					
Dengue GMO (mosquito)		Inst	FB	X	YT	WA	Total
	Count	8	23	6	23	I	61
False	Expected count	7.6	21.2	5.9	24.6	1.7	61
1 alse	Standardized residuals	.I	.4	0	3	5	



122

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

Wagner de Lara Machado

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)



Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira,

Ketlin da Rosa Taqliapietra, Luisa Massarani,

123

	Count	I	2	I	6	I	II
True	Expected count	I.4	3.8	I.I	4.4	.3	II
	Standardized residuals	-7 -0		I	.7	1.3	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	3.93, p = .37, 0	ρ=.22					
Vinegar		Inst	FB	X	YT	WA	Total
	Count	7	17	5	17	I	47
False	Expected count	6.1	16.3	4.8	18.4	I.4	47
raise	Standardized residuals	.4	.2	.I	3	3	
T	Count	2	7	2	Ю	I	22
True	Expected count	2.9	7.7	2.2	8.6	.6	22
	Standardized residuals	5	2	2	.5	.5	
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	I.46, p = .90,						

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms; FB = Facebook; Inst = Instagram; YT = YouTube; WA = WhatsApp.

Source: own elaboration.

Table S9. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests comparisons between most used social media for health information seeking and belief in misinformation (continuation)

		Мо		social media for health information					
Ivermectin for dengue prevention and treatment		Inst	FB	x	YT	WA	Total		
	Count	8	22	6	23	2	61		
False	Expected count	7.3	21.9	6.4	23.7	1.8	61		
	Standardized residuals	.3	.0	I	I	.I			
Т	Count	0	2		3	0	6		
True	Expected count	.7	2.I	.6	2.3	.2	6		
	Standardized residuals	8	I	.5	.4	4			
Fisher's exact test, <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	I.70, p = .84, φ	= .15							

Note. FB = Facebook; Inst = Instagram; YT = YouTube; WA = WhatsApp.

Source: own elaboration.



Table S10. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests comparisons between gender and belief in misinformation

			Gender		Dengue GMO		Ge	nder	
Covid-19 Super virus		Male	Female	Total	(Mosquito)		Male	Female	Total
	Count	41	82	123		Count	42	85	127
False	Expected count	41	82	123	False	Expected count	42.05	84.95	127
Tuise	Standardized residuals	o	0		Tuise	Standardized residuals	02	.02	
True	Count	9	18	27		Count	7	14	21
True	Expected count	9	18	27	True	Expected count	6.95	14.05	21
	Standardized residuals	o	0		1140	Standardized residuals	.02	02	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	$X_2(I, N = I_{50}) = .0, p$	= 1.00, 0	ο. = φ		X2 (DF, N°) <i>p</i> -value, Phi- coefficient	X2 (1, N = 148) =	.o, p = .98	3, φ = .0	
Covid-19 vaccine side- effects in children		Male	Female	Total	Vinegar		Male	Female	Total
	Count	35	65	100		Count	36	74	IIO
False	Expected count	33.33	66.68	100	_ False	Expected count	37.18	72.82	IIO
	Standardized residuals	.61	61			Standardized residuals	5	-5	
	Count	15	35	50		Count	12	20	32
True	Expected count	16.68	33.33	50	True	Expected count	10.82	21.18	32
	Standardized residuals	61	.61			Standardized residuals	.5	5	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (1, N = 150) = .37, p	= .54, ¢	= .05		X2 (DF, N°), p-value, Phi- coefficient	$X_2(I, N = I_{42}) = .2$	25, p = .61	τ, φ = .04	
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		Male	Female	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		Male	Female	Total
	Count	34	74	108		Count	44	92	136
False	Expected count	35.28	72.72	108	False	Expected count	44.7I	91.29	136
	Standardized residuals	5	.5		1 4100	Standardized residuals	5	.5	
	Count	15	27	42		Count	4	6	10
True	Expected count	13.72	28.28	42	True	Expected count	3.29	6.71	10
	Standardized residuals	.5	5			Standardized residuals	.5	5	
X2 (DF, N°), <i>p</i> -value, Phi-coefficient	X2 (I, N = I50) = .25, p	= .62, φ	= .04		Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	$.33, p < .73, \varphi = .04$			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organisms.

Source: own elaboration.

I24 BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani, Wagner de Lara Machado

Covid-19 Super virus		_	nation ing su	search rvey	Dengue GMO (Mosquito)		Inform search sur	during	
		No	Yes	Total	(No	Yes	Total
	Count	II2	10	II2		Count	114	IO	124
False	Expected count	112.9	9.13	II2	False	Expected count	114.59	9.41	124
	Standardized residuals	73	.73			Standardized residuals	53	.53	
True	Count	24	I	25		Count	20	I	21
True	Expected count	23.13	1.87	25	True	Expected count	19.41	1.59	21
	Standardized residuals	.73	73			Standardized residuals	.53	53	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	.75, p = .69, φ =	= .06			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	.56, p = 1.00, φ = .04			
Covid-19 vaccine side-effects in children		No	Yes	Total	Vinegar		No	Yes	Total
	Count	90	10	100		Count	97	Ю	107
False	Expected count	92.52	7.48	100	False	Expected count	98.53	8.47	107
	Standardized residuals	-1.69	.169			Standardized residuals	-1.14	1.14	
	Count	46	I	47		Count	31	I	32
True	Expected count	43.48	3.52	47	True	Expected count	29.47	2.53	32
	Standardized residuals	1.69	169			Standardized residuals	1.14	-1.14	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	1.62, <i>p</i> = .17, φ	= .14			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	I.I5, <i>p</i> = .46, φ = .I	0		
Ivermectin for Covid-19 prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total	Ivermectin for Dengue prevention and treatment		No	Yes	Total
	Count	97	Ю	107		Count	124	II	135
False	Expected count	98.99	8	107	False	Expected count	124.69	10.31	135
	Standardized residuals	-1.4	1.4			Standardized residuals	89	.89	
	Count	39	I	40		Count	9	0	9
True	Expected count	37	2.99	40	True	Expected count	8.31	.69	9
	Standardized residuals	1.4	-1.4			Standardized residuals	.89	89	
Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi-coefficient	I.38, p = .29, φ	= .11.			Fisher's exact test, p-value, Phi- coefficient	Ο, p = 1.0, φ = .07			

Note. GMO = Genetically modified organism.

Source: own elaboration.

BELIEFS IN MISINFORMATION ABOUT COVID-19 AND DENGUE IN A SAMPLE OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE (BRAZIL)

Nicolas de Oliveira Cardoso, Thaiane Moreira de Oliveira, Ketlin da Rosa Tagliapietra, Luisa Massarani, Wagner de Lara Machado